

GENERALIZED TWISTED KLOOSTERMAN SUM OVER  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ УЗАГАЛЬНЕНА ГІБРИДНА СУМА КЛОСТЕРМАНА НАД  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ 

The twisted Kloosterman sums over  $\mathbb{Z}$  were studied by V. Bykovsky, A. Vinogradov, N. Kuznetsov, R. W. Bruggeman, R. J. Miatello, I. Pacharoni, A. Knightly, and C. Li. In our paper, we obtain similar estimates of  $K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and improve estimates obtained for the sums of this kind with Dirichlet character  $\chi \pmod{q_1}$ , where  $q_1 \mid q$ .

Узагальнені суми Клоостермана з характером над  $\mathbb{Z}$  вивчали В. Биковський, А. Виноградов, М. Кузнецов, А. Найтлі та С. Лі. У статті отримано аналогічні оцінки для  $K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q)$  над  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , а також уточнено оцінки таких сум з характером Діріхле  $\chi \pmod{q_1}$ , де  $q_1 \mid q$ .

**1. Introduction.** The classic Kloosterman sums appeared first in the work of Kloosterman [8] in connection with the representation of natural numbers by binary quadratic forms. The Kloosterman sum is an exponential sum over a reduced residue system modulo  $q$ :

$$K(a, b; q) := \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x, q)=1}}^q e^{2\pi i \frac{ax+bx^{-1}}{q}} \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad q > 1 \text{ is a positive integer})$$

here and in the sequel  $x^{-1}$  denote the reciprocal to  $x$  modulo  $q$ , i.e.,  $xx^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .

By the relation for  $q = q_1 q_2$ ,  $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ ,

$$K(a, b; q) = K(aq'_2, bq'_2; q_1) \cdot K(aq'_1, bq'_1; q_2)$$

follows that suffices to obtain the estimations  $K(a, b; q)$  only for a case  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  be a prime,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The greatest difficulty in an estimation of the Kloosterman sums provides the case  $q = p$ . The estimation  $K(a, b; p) \ll p^{3/4}$  under a condition  $(a, b, p) = 1$  was obtained in the named work of Kloosterman, and then Davenport [5] improved on it up to  $\ll p^{2/3}$ . A. Weil [14] proved the Riemann hypothesis for algebraic curves of over finite field and obtained for  $K(a, b; p)$  the best possible estimation  $\ll p^{1/2}$ .

Davenport [5] studied the general Kloosterman sums over finite field with the multiplicative character  $\chi$  of this field

$$K_\chi(a, b; p) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi(x) e^{2\pi i \frac{ax+bx^{-1}}{p}}.$$

The sums containing simultaneously multiplicative and additive characters call twisted or hybrid sums.

The further generalizations of the Kloosterman sums concerned with a substitution of a prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  on it a finite expansion  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $1 < n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The generalizations of the Kloosterman sums concerned with theory of modular forms studied in the works Kuznetsov [10, 11], Bruggeman [2], Deshoiller and Iwaniec [6], Proskurin [12], R. W. Bruggeman, R. J. Miatello, I. Pacharoni [1], A. Knightly, and C. Li [9].

Let consider the ring of the Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . For Gaussian integers  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  we can define the Kloosterman sum

$$K(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \\ x \pmod{\gamma} \\ (x, \gamma) = 1}} \exp\left(\pi i \operatorname{Sp} \frac{\alpha x + \beta x^{-1}}{\gamma}\right).$$

R. W. Bruggeman and Y. Motohashi [3] obtained the estimation

$$K(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) \ll 2^{\nu(\gamma)} N(\gamma)^{1/2} N((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))^{1/2},$$

where  $\nu(\gamma)$  is the number distinct prime divisors of  $\gamma$ ;  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ .

In [13] we considered two type of generalized Kloosterman sums over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; k; \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{\gamma} \\ (x, \gamma) = 1}} \chi(x) \exp\left(\pi i \operatorname{Sp} \frac{\alpha x^k + \beta x^{-1k}}{\gamma}\right),$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\chi$  is multiplicative character modulo  $\gamma$ , and

$$\tilde{K}(\alpha, \beta; h, q; k) = \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \\ x, y \pmod{\gamma} \\ N(xy) \equiv h \pmod{q}}} e_q\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Sp}(\alpha x^k + \beta y^k)\right),$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $h, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(h, q) = 1$ .

We call  $K(\alpha, \beta; k; \gamma, \chi)$  the twisted power Kloosterman sum and  $\tilde{K}(\alpha, \beta; h, q; k)$  call the norm Kloosterman sum.

In this paper we obtain the estimations of generalized Kloosterman sum with a Dirichlet character over the ring of the Gaussian integers which extend the A. Knightly, C. Li [9] results.

**Remark 1.1.** We denote  $G := \mathbb{Z}[i]$  the ring of the Gaussian integers

$$G = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, i^2 = -1\}.$$

For the designation of the Gaussian integers we shall use the Greek letters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \xi, \eta$ ; a Gaussian prime number denote through  $\mathfrak{p}$  if  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  we put  $\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 2\Re(\alpha)$ ,  $N(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \bar{\alpha}$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes a complex conjugate with  $\alpha$ ;  $\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha)$  and  $N(\alpha)$  we name a trace and a norm (respectively) of  $\alpha$  from  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  into  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The writing  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  (respectively,  $\alpha \in G_\gamma$ ) under the sign  $\Sigma$  denotes that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  (respectively,  $\alpha \in G$ ) and  $a$  (respectively,  $\alpha$ ) runs a complete residue system modulo  $q$  (modulo  $\gamma$ ). Analogous,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$  (respectively,  $\alpha \in G_\gamma^*$ ) denotes  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  (respectively,  $\alpha \in G$ ) and runs a reduced residue system modulo  $q$  (respectively, modulo  $\gamma$ ).

The writing  $\sum_{(U)}$  denotes that the summation runs over the region  $U$  which describes separately. For  $A \in \mathbb{N}$  (or  $\alpha \in G$ ) put  $\nu_p(A) = a$  (or  $\nu_p(\alpha) = a$ ) if  $p^a \parallel A$  (or  $p^a \parallel \alpha$ ). Moreover,  $\exp(z) = e^z$ ,  $e_q(z) = e^{2\pi i \frac{z}{q}}$  for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ; the Vinogradov symbol as in  $f(x) \ll g(x)$  means that  $f(x) = O(g(x))$ .

**2. Auxiliary results.** For the proof of our main results the following lemmas are needed.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $f(x) = a_1x + a_2x^2 + p^{\lambda_3}a_3x^3 + \dots + p^{\lambda_k}a_kx^k$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $j = 3, \dots, k$ ;  $(a_i, p) = 1$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ ;  $p > 2$  be a prime number. Then for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m} e_{p^m}(f(x)) = \varepsilon(m)p^{m/2}e_{p^m}(F(a_1, \dots, a_k)), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , and, moreover,

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \equiv -a_1^2(2a_2)^{-1} \pmod{p},$$

$$\varepsilon(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ i^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Setting  $x = y + p^{m-1}z$ ,  $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{m-1} - 1\}$ ,  $z \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ , we obtain

$$S := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m} e_{p^m}(f(x)) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m-1}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_p} e_{p^m}(f(y) + p^{m-1}zf'(y)).$$

The sum over  $z$  gives zero if  $f'(y) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

We have  $f'(y) = a_1 + 2a_2y \pmod{p}$ . Thus

$$S = e_{p^m}(f(y_0))p \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m-1}} e_{p^{m-2}}(g(y)),$$

where  $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $a_1 + 2a_2y_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $g(y) = \frac{f(y_0 + py) - f(y_0)}{p^2} = b_1y + b_2y^2 + p^{\mu_3}b_3y^3 + \dots + p^{\mu_k}b_ky^k$ ,  $b_1 \equiv \frac{a_1 + 2a_2y_0}{p} \pmod{p}$ ,  $b_2 \equiv a_2 \pmod{p}$ ,  $b_j \equiv a_j \pmod{p}$ ,  $\mu_j > 1$ .

These considerations we continue further.

Thereby for  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  we obtain

$$S = p^{m/2}e_{p^m}(f(y_0) + p^2g(y_1) + \dots). \tag{2.2}$$

For  $n$  is odd we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= p^{\frac{m-1}{2}} e_{p^m}(f(y_0) + p^2g(y_1 + \dots)) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} e_p(b_1x + a_2x^2) = \\ &= p^{\frac{m}{2}} i^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2} e_{p^m}(f(y_0) + p^2g(y_1) + \dots + p^{m-1}b'_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Take into account that  $f(y_0) \equiv -a_1^2(2a_2)^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , we prove Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the Gaussian prime number,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in G$ ,  $(\alpha_j, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots$ ;  $\lambda_j$  be a positive integer;  $j = 3, \dots, k$ . Then the relations*

$$\sum_{\xi \in G_{\mathfrak{p}}^m} \exp \left( \pi i \operatorname{Sp} \left( \frac{\alpha_1 \xi + \alpha_2 \mathfrak{p} \xi^2 + \alpha_3 \mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_3} \xi^3 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_k} \xi^k}{\mathfrak{p}^m} \right) \right) =$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \mathfrak{p} \neq 1+i, \\ e^{\pi i \operatorname{Sp} \left( \frac{F_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)}{\mathfrak{p}^m} \right)} N(\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{m+1}{2}} & \text{if } \alpha_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \mathfrak{p} \neq 1+i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = 1+i, \\ e^{\pi i \operatorname{Sp} \left( \frac{F_2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)}{\mathfrak{p}^m} \right)} & \text{if } \alpha_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = 1+i, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

hold, where the polynomials  $F_1, F_2$  are similar to  $F$  from Lemma 2.1.

This assertion can be proved exactly in the same way as Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $p > 2$  be a prime number,  $h, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > 1$ ,  $(h, p) = 1$ . Then for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in G$  the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^m}} e^{\pi i \operatorname{Sp} \left( \frac{\alpha_1 \xi + \alpha_2 \xi^2 + phN(\xi) + p^2 \alpha_3 \xi^3 + \dots + p^2 \alpha_k \xi^k}{p^m} \right)} \right| \leq p^{m+1} \quad (2.5)$$

holds.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha_1 = a_1 + ib_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = a_2 + ib_2$ ,  $\xi = x + iy$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha_1 \xi) = 2(a_1 x - b_1 y), \quad \operatorname{Sp}(\alpha_2 \xi^2) = 2(a_2 x^2 - a_2 y^2 - 2b_2 xy).$$

Hence,

$$S := \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^m}} e^{\pi i \operatorname{Sp} \left( \frac{\alpha_1 \xi + \alpha_2 \xi^2 + phN(\xi) + p^2 \alpha_3 \xi^3 + \dots}{p^m} \right)} =$$

$$= \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}} e^{2\pi i \frac{a_1 x - b_1 y + pa_2 x^2 - pa_2 y^2 - 2pb_2 xy + ph(x^2 + y^2) + p^2 f(x, y)}{p^m}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $f(x, y)$  is a polynomial without free term.

We have that  $(a_2 + h, p) = 1$  or  $(a_2 - h, p) = 1$ . Let  $(a_2 + h, p) = 1$ . We can write

$$S = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{b_1 y - p(h+a_2)y^2}{p^m}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a_1 - 2pb_2 y)x + p(a_2 h)x^2 + p^2 f(x, y)}{p^m}}.$$

It is well-known that the summation on  $x$  (or, respectively,  $y$ ) gives zero if  $a_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Thus we will set that  $\alpha_1 = p(a_1 + ib_1)$ . Then we obtain

$$S = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{b_1 y + (h+b_2)y^2}{p^{m-1}}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a_1 - b_2 y)x + (a_2 + h)x^2 + pf(x, y)}{p^{m-1}}} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= p^2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m-1}}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{b_1 y + (h+b_2)y^2}{p^{m-1}}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m-1}}} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a_1 - b_2 y)x + (a_2 + h)x^2 + p f(x, y)}{p^{m-1}}} := \\
 &:= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m-1}}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{b_1 y + (h+b_2)y^2}{p^{m-1}}} \cdot S_1(y), \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

say.

The sum  $S_1(y)$  we can calculate by Lemma 2.1:

$$S_1(y) = \varepsilon(m-1) p^{\frac{m-1}{2}} e_{p^{m-1}}(f(y_0) + p^2 g(y_1) + \dots), \tag{2.8}$$

where  $f(y_0) \equiv \frac{(a_1 - 2pb_2y)^2}{2(a_2 + h)} \equiv \frac{a_1^2}{2(a_2 + h)} - \frac{2phb_2}{a_2 + h}y + \frac{2p^2b_2^2}{a_2 + h}y^2 \pmod{p}$ .

Thereby from (2.7), (2.8) by Lemma 2.1 we infer

$$\left| \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^m}} e^{\pi i \operatorname{Sp}\left(\frac{\alpha_1 \xi + \alpha_2 \xi^2 + phN(\xi) + p^2 \alpha_3 \xi^3 + \dots + p^2 \alpha_k \xi^k}{p^m}\right)} \right| \leq p^{m+1}.$$

Lemma 2.3 is proved.

**3. Preliminary result.** Let a modulus  $q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $q_1$ . Over the ring of Gaussian integers  $G = \mathbb{Z}[i]$  we define the following generalized twisted Kloosterman sum with the multiplicative function  $\chi$  for any  $q, q \equiv 0 \pmod{q_1}$ :

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q) = \sum_{x, y \in G_q} \overline{\chi(N(x))} e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Sp}\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{q}\right)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that  $\chi$  is not generally a Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ , because it can be happened that  $\chi(N(x)) \neq 0$  when  $(x, q) \neq 1$ .

In the special case where  $\gamma = 1$  and  $q_1 = q$  we obtain the twisted Kloosterman sum with a character  $\chi$  defined by

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; q) = \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G_q^* \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \overline{\chi(x)} e^{\pi i \operatorname{Sp}\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{q}\right)}. \tag{3.2}$$

The generalized twisted Kloosterman sum  $K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; q)$  has the property of quasimultiplicativity at  $q$ , i.e., for  $q = q'q''$ ,  $(q', q'') = 1$  the equality

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q) = K_{\chi_1}(\alpha, \beta_1; \gamma; q') \cdot K_{\chi_2}(\alpha, \beta_2; \gamma; q'') \tag{3.3}$$

holds, where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are characters induced by character  $\chi$ , and  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  define from the congruence

$$\beta = \beta_1(q'')^2 + \beta_2(q')^2 \pmod{q}.$$

Thus in the sequence it will regard only the Kloosterman sum  $K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q)$  with  $q = p^m, p > 2$  be a prime number from  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p > 2$  be a prime number. Suppose  $q = p^m$  and  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character of conductor  $p^{m_0}$ ,  $m_0 \leq m$ . Then for any Gaussian integers  $\alpha, \beta$*

$$|K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; p^m)| := \left| \sum_{x, y \in G_{p^m}^*} \chi_{p^m}(N(x)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha x + \beta x^{-1})) \right| \leq \varepsilon_p N(p)^{m/2}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\varepsilon_p = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 4 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$

**Proof.** In the case  $m = 1$  we obtained the required result for principal  $\chi$  using the Weil's result on the estimate of exponential sum on an algebraic curve over finite field, and extended to nonprincipal character  $\chi$  (see [13]).

Now we consider the case  $m > 1$ . Without loss generality we suppose that  $(\alpha, \beta, p) = 1$ .

Since  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ ,  $q = p^m$ , we write  $y = x^{-1}$ . Then putting  $x = \xi + p^{m-1}\eta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; p^m) &= \sum_{x \in G_{p^m}^*} \chi_{p^m}(N(x)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha x + \beta x^{-1})) = \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^{m-1}}^*} \sum_{\eta \in G_p} \chi_{p^m}(N(\xi + p^{m-1}\eta)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha \xi + \beta \xi^{-1})) e_p(\Re((\alpha - \beta \xi^{-2})\eta)) = \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^{m-1}}^*} \chi_{p^m}(N(\xi)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha \xi + \beta \xi^{-1})) \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\eta \in G_p} \chi_{p^m}(N(1 + p^{m-1}\xi^{-1}\eta)) e_p(\Re((\alpha - \beta \xi^{-1})\eta)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Let  $\chi_{p^m}(A)$  is defined by the relation

$$\chi_{p^m}(A) = \begin{cases} e^{2\pi i \frac{\nu \operatorname{ind} A}{p^{m-1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } (A, p) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid A, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{m-1}(p-1) - 1\}$ ,  $\operatorname{ind} A$  denotes the index of integer  $A$ ,  $(A, p) = 1$ , relatively to the fixed primitive root modulo  $p^n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Take into account that  $\operatorname{ind}(1 + p^\ell B) = p^{m-\ell-1}(p-1)u^{-1}B$  with a some  $u$ ,  $(u, p) = 1$ ,  $u$  is not depend on  $B$ , if  $(B, p) = 1$ , and  $N(1 + p^{m-1}\xi^{-1}\eta) \equiv 1 + p^{m-1}2\Re(\xi^{-1}\eta) = 1 + p^{m-1} \operatorname{Sp}(\xi^{-1}\eta)$ , we infer

$$\chi_{p^m}(N(1 + p^{m-1}\xi^{-1}\eta)) = e_{p^m}(\nu \Re(\xi^{-1}\eta)). \quad (3.6)$$

Now from (3.5), (3.6) it follows

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; p^m) = \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^{m-1}}^*} \chi_{p^m}(N(\xi)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha \xi + \beta \xi^{-1})) \sum_{\eta \in G_p} e_p \left( \Re \left( \frac{(\nu \xi^{-1} + \alpha - \beta \xi^{-2})\eta}{p} \right) \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Let  $Y(\alpha, \beta, \nu)$  is the set of solutions of the congruence

$$\alpha u^2 + \nu u - \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad u \in G_{p^m}^*.$$

It is clear that  $|Y(\alpha, \beta, \nu)| \leq \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 4 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$

From (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; p^m) &= p^2 \sum_{\xi_0 \in Y} \chi_{p^m}(N(\xi_0)) \times \\ &\times \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^{m-2}}} \chi_{p^m}(N(1 + p\xi_0^{-1}\xi)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha(\xi_0 + p\xi) + \beta\xi_0^{-1} - \beta\xi_0^{-2}p\xi + \dots)) = \\ &= p^2 \sum_{\xi_0 \in Y} \chi_{p^m}(N(\xi_0)) e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha\xi_0 + \beta\xi_0^{-1})) \times \\ &\times \sum_{\xi \in G_{p^{m-2}}} e_{p^{m-2}} \left( \Re \left( \frac{(\nu\xi_0^{-1} + \alpha - \beta\xi_0^{-2})}{p} \xi + \beta\xi_0^{-3}\xi^2 + p\beta\xi_0^{-1}\xi^3 + \dots \right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now, Lemma 2.2 gives

$$|K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; p^m)| \leq \varepsilon_p N(p)^{m/2},$$

where  $\varepsilon_p = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 4 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$

Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Now, by (3.3) we infer immediately the next corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *For any  $\alpha, \beta \in G$  and every Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo  $q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$|K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; q)| \leq \bar{\tau}(q) \sqrt{N((\alpha, \beta, q))} N(q)^{1/2},$$

where  $\bar{\tau}(q)$  denotes the number of divisors  $q$  over  $G$ :

$$\bar{\tau}(q) = \sum_{\delta|q}^* 1,$$

(here  $*$  denotes that  $\delta$  runs all nonassociated divisors of  $q$  over  $G$ ).

**4. Main results.** Now we will investigate the generalized twisted Kloosterman sum  $K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, q)$  with parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$ ,  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $q_1$ ,  $q_1 | q$ . We put  $q = q'q''$ , where  $(q', q'') = 1$ ,  $q'$  consists from the same prime numbers as  $q_1$ , and hence,  $q_1 | q'$ .

From

$$G_q = G_{q'} \times G_{q''}$$

we deduce that  $\chi$  can consider as a multiplicative function on  $G_q$  and it has a canonical factorization  $\chi = \chi_{q'} \cdot \chi_{q''}$  on  $G_q$ , where  $\chi_{q'}$  is the Dirichlet character modulo  $q'$ , viewed as a function on  $G_{q'}$ , and  $\chi_{q''}$  is the constant function 1 on  $G_{q''}$ .

Thus we have

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q'q'') = K_{\chi_{q'}}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \gamma; q') \cdot K_{\chi_{q''}}(\alpha_2, \beta_2; \gamma; q''). \tag{4.1}$$

Hence, for  $q = \prod_{p|q} p^{a_p}$ ,  $q_1 = \prod_{p|q} p^{b_p}$  we deduce

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q) = \prod_{p|q'} K_{\chi_{p^a}}(\alpha, \beta_{1p}; \gamma; p^{a_p}) \prod_{p|q''} K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta_{2p}; \gamma; p^{b_p}), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &\equiv \beta(q'')^{-1} \pmod{q'}, & \beta_2 &\equiv \beta(q')^{-1} \pmod{q''}, \\ \beta_{1p} &\equiv \beta \left( \frac{q}{p^{a_p}} \right)^{-1} \pmod{p^{a_p}}, & \beta_{2p} &\equiv \beta \left( \frac{q_1}{p^b} \right)^{-1} \pmod{p^b}, \end{aligned}$$

moreover in the second product all functions  $\chi_{p^b}$  be the constant function 1.

First we consider the multiples of second product.

Let  $\chi_{p^b} = 1$ . Write  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_p$ , where  $(\gamma_1, p) = 1$ ,  $\gamma_p = \gamma_1^{d_1} \gamma_2^{d_2}$  if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$ ; or  $\gamma_p = p^d$  if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Using the substitution  $y = \gamma_1 y_1$  we obtain for  $d < m$

$$K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; p^m) = K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta \gamma_1; p^d; p^m).$$

The congruence  $xy \equiv p^d \pmod{p^m}$  has the solutions of type  $x = p^i x_1$ ,  $y = p^j y_1$ ,  $i + j = d$ ,  $x_1 y_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m-d}}$ . Thus, grouping the summands of sum in  $K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta \gamma_1; p^d; p^m)$ , according to  $i = \nu_p(x) \leq d$ , we infer (for  $\chi_{p^d}$  being constant function 1)

$$K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta \gamma_1; p^d; p^m) = \sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{x \in G_{p^{m-d}}^*} \sum_{x_1 \in G_{p^{d-i}}^*} \sum_{y_1 \in G_{p^i}^*} e_{p^m}(\alpha p^i (x + p^{m-k} x_1) + \beta p^{d-i} (x^{-1} + p^{m-k} y_1)).$$

Now, the summations over  $x_1, y_1$  give nonzero only for  $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{d-i}}$  and  $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}$ .

We have therefore obtained the following expression (if  $\chi_{p^d} = 1$ ):

$$K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta \gamma_1; \gamma_p; p^m) = \begin{cases} N(p)^d \sum_{i=I_1}^{I_2} K \left( \frac{\alpha}{p^{d-i}}, \frac{\beta \gamma_1}{p^i}; p^{m-d} \right) & \text{if } d \leq \nu_p(\alpha) + \nu_p(\beta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

where  $I_1 = k - \nu_p(\alpha)$ ,  $I_2 = \nu_p(\beta)$ .

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we take into account that  $\chi_{p^d}$  is the constant function 1 and then

$$K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta \gamma_1; \gamma_p; p^m) = K(\alpha \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2^m, \beta \gamma_1 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2^m; \mathfrak{p}_1^{d_1}; \mathfrak{p}_2^m) \cdot K(\alpha \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1^m, \beta \gamma_1 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1^m; \mathfrak{p}_2^{d_2}; \mathfrak{p}_2^m),$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_2^m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1^m}$ .

Thus we have, by the same arguments as for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta \gamma_1; \mathfrak{p}_1^{d_1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{d_2}; p^m) = \\ &= \begin{cases} p^{d_1+d_2} \prod_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i_1=I_{11}}^{I_{12}} \sum_{i_2=I_{21}}^{I_{22}} \mathfrak{M}_{i_1, i_2} & \text{if } d_j \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\alpha) + \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\beta), \quad j = 1, 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$



where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{k_1, k_2} = K \left( \frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{p}_1^{d_1 - k_1}}, \frac{\beta\gamma_1}{\mathfrak{p}_1^{k_1}}; \mathfrak{p}_1^{m - d_1} \right) \cdot K \left( \frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{p}_2^{d_2 - k_2}}, \frac{\beta\gamma_1}{\mathfrak{p}_2^{k_2}}; \mathfrak{p}_2^{m - d_2} \right),$$

$$I_{j1} = d_1 - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\alpha), \quad I_{j2} = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\beta), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

From (4.3) and Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\left| K_{\chi_{p^b}}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; p^m) \right| \leq (d + 1)(m + 1) \sqrt{N(\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, p^m)} \cdot N(p^m). \tag{4.5}$$

For  $d \geq m$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  we set

$$x = p^i t_1, \quad y = p^{m-i} t_2, \quad t_1 \in G_{p^{m-i}}^*, \quad t_2 \in G_{p^i},$$

and get

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta\gamma_1; p^d; p^m) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{t_1 \in G_{p^{m-i}}^*} \sum_{t_2 \in G_{p^i}} e_{p^m}(\Re(\alpha p^i t_1 + \beta\gamma_1 p^{m-i} t_2)). \tag{4.6}$$

The sum over  $t_2$  is  $N(p^i)$  or 0 according to whether  $i \leq \nu_p(\beta)$  or not. The sum over  $t_1$  is the Ramanujan sum, so that

$$\sum_{t_1 \in G_{p^{m-i}}^*} e_{p^m}(\alpha p^i t_1) = \begin{cases} N(p^{m-i}) - N(p^{m-i-1}) & \text{if } 0 < m - i \leq \nu_p(\alpha), \\ -N(p^{m-i-1}) & \text{if } m - i = \nu_p(\alpha) + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } m - i > \nu_p(\alpha) + 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we see that  $i$ th term in (4.6) vanishes unless  $m - i \leq \nu_p(\alpha) + 1$  and  $i \leq \nu_p(\beta)$ , i.e.,  $m - \nu_p(\alpha) - 1 \leq i \leq \nu_p(\beta)$ . Thus the whole expression vanishes unless  $m \leq \nu_p(\alpha) + \nu_p(\beta) + 1$ .

Thereby in the case  $d \geq m$

$$K_\chi(\alpha, \beta\gamma_1; p^d; p^m) \leq (d + 1) (N(\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma; p^m))^{1/2} N(p^m)^{1/2}. \tag{4.7}$$

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we obtain an analogous result.

Let  $K_{\chi_{p^a}}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; p^m)$  be a multiple from the first product in (4.2).

Now, we have  $\chi_{p^a}(N(x)) = 0$  if  $(x, p) \neq 1$ , and hence from  $xy \equiv \gamma \pmod{p^m}$ ,  $(x, p) = 1$ , follows that  $y \equiv x^{-1}\gamma \pmod{p^\ell}$ , and also

$$K_{\chi_{p^a}}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; p^m) = K_{\chi_{p^a}}(\alpha, \beta\gamma; 1; p^m) = K_{\chi_{p^a}}(\alpha, \beta\gamma; p^m) \tag{4.8}$$

holds.

The application of Corollary 3.1 gives

$$\left| K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; p^m) \right| \leq \bar{\tau}(p^m) (N(\alpha, \beta\gamma; p^m))^{1/2} (N(p^m))^{1/2} \tag{4.9}$$

if  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character mod  $p^{m_0}$ ,  $0 < m_0 \leq m$ .

Multiplying the local estimates (4.7) and (4.8) together, by (4.2) we have

$$\left| K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q) \right| \leq \bar{\tau}(\gamma)\bar{\tau}(q) \sqrt{N(\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, q)} \cdot N(p)^{m/2}.$$

So, we proved the following main theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be the Gaussian integers,  $q > 1$  be a positive integer,  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $q_1$ ,  $q_1 | q$ . Then the estimate*

$$|K_\chi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; q)| \leq \bar{\tau}(\gamma)\bar{\tau}(q)\sqrt{N(\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, q)}q$$

holds.

**Remark 4.1.** The method we used to prove the theorem may be applied in the case of  $q$  is even. It is enough to apply the analogues of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3.

**Remark 4.2.** In [9] Knightly and Li have shown that the generalized twisted Kloosterman sum over  $\mathbb{Z}$  has the estimate

$$|K_\chi(a, b; n; q)| := \left| \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_q \\ xy \equiv n \pmod{q}}} \chi(x) e^{2\pi i \frac{ax+by}{q}} \right| \leq \tau(n)\tau(q)(an, bn, q)^{1/2} q^{1/2} q_x^{1/2},$$

where  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character modulo  $q_1$  of conductor  $q_x$ ,  $q_1 | q$ .

Using the same method of proof as above, we can obtain more precise estimate

$$|K_\chi(a, b; n; q)| \leq \tau(n)\tau(q)(an, bn, q)^{1/2} q^{1/2}.$$

1. Bruggeman R. W., Miatello R. J., Pacharoni I. Estimates for Kloosterman sums for totally real number fields // J. reine und angew. Math. – 2006. – **535**. – S. 103–164.
2. Bruggeman R. W. Fourier coefficients of cusp forms // Invent. Math. – 1978. – **445**. – P. 1–18.
3. Bruggeman R. W., Motohashi Y. Sum formula for Kloosterman sums and fourth moment of the Dedekind zeta-function over the Gaussian number field // Funct. Approxim. – 2003. – **31**. – P. 23–92.
4. Conrad S. On Weil proof of the bound for Kloosterman sums // J. Number Theory. – 2002. – **97**, № 2. – P. 439–446.
5. Davenport H. On certain exponential sums // J. reine und angew. Math. – 1933. – **169**. – S. 158–176.
6. Deshouillers I.-M., Iwaniec H. Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms // Invent. Math. – 1982. – **70**. – P. 219–288.
7. Kanemitsu S., Tanigawa Y., Yi. Yuan, Zhang Wenpeng. On general Kloosterman sums // Ann. Univ. sci. budapest. Sec. comp. – 2003. – **22**. – P. 151–160.
8. Kloosterman H. D. On the representation of numbers in the form  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dt^2$  // Acta Math. – 1926. – **49**. – P. 407–464.
9. Knightly A., Li C. Kuznetsov's trace formula and the Hecke eigenvalues of Maas forms // arXiv: 1202. 0189v1[math. NT], 1 Feb 2012.
10. Kyznetsov N. V. Petterson conjecture for forms of weight zero and the conjecture Linnik. – Khabarovsk, 1977. – Preprint № 2 (in Russian).
11. Kyznetsov N. V. Petterson conjecture for forms of weight zero and the conjecture Linnik sums of Kloosterman sums // Math. Sb. – 1980. – **3(153)**, № 3. – P. 334–383 (in Russian).
12. Proskurin N. V. On general Kloosterman sums. – Leningrad, 1980. – Preprint, LOMY, № R-3 (in Russian).
13. Varbanets S. The norm Kloosterman sums over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  // An. Probab. Methods Number Theory. – 2007. – P. 225–239.
14. Weil A. On some exponential sums // Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. – 1948. – **34**. – P. 204–207.
15. Yi. Yuan, Zhang Wenpeng. On the generalization of a problem of D. H. Lehmer // Kyushu J. Math. – 2002. – **56**. – P. 235–241.

Received 13.11.12,  
after revision – 22.10.13